

FILED
SEP - 2 2004
CLERK
ALA COURT CRIMINAL APPEALS

C.R.-03-1163

IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF ALABAMA

RONALD SWINEY)
)
Appellant)
)
vs,)
)
STATE OF ALABAMA)
)
Appellee)

On Appeal From The
CIRCUIT COURT OF SHELBY COUNTY, ALABAMA
Case No. CC88-77.61

APPELLANT'S REPLY BRIEF AND ARGUMENT

JOE W. MORGAN, III
PO Box 190063
Birmingham, AL, 35219
(205) 945-8550

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Authorities.....ii

Summary Of The Argument.....1

Argument.....5

1. Evidence of Actual Innocence Exists and5
Is Timely Filed under Rule 32.1(e) A.r. Crim.P..

2. It Was Error to Dismiss the Rule 32 Petition.....11
Considering Clearly Established Federal
and State Law.

3. Dismissal of the Petition with Prejudice15
Without Hearing Was a Denial of Due Process.

4. Exculpatory Brady Material Was Wrongfully18
Withheld from Swiney.

5. It Was Error to Dismiss the Rule 32 Petition21
Containing Newly Discovered Evidence of
Actual Innocence.

6. The Order in this Case Does Not Address the23
Issues Pled in the Petition.

Conclusion26

Certificate Of Service.....26

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

Carr v State, [Ms. CR-02-2192, January 6, 2004]....23
 ___ So.2d ___ (Ala. Crim. App. 2004)

Ex parte Brooks, [Ms. 1030462, June 25, 2004].....11,15,21
 ___ So.2d ___ (Ala. 2004)

Ex parte Floyd, 457 So.2d 961 (Ala. 1984).....11,15,21

Ex parte Gardener, [Ms. 1030309,25
January 27, 2004]___ So.2d ___ (Ala. 2004)

Ex parte Pierce, 851 So.2d 618 (Ala. 2002).....12

Farris v. State, 2003 WL 2222035.....6
(Ala. Crim. App. 2003)

Frye v. United States, 293 F.2d 10139
(D.C. Cir. 1923)

Giles v Maryland, 386 U.S. 66(1967).....22

Hardy v. State, [Ms. CR-02-1626,25
February 27, 2004]___ So.2d ___
(Ala. Crim. App. 2004)

King v. State, 689 So.2d 929(Ala. Crim. App.).....15

Kyles v. Whitley, 514 U.S.419 (1995).....21

M.R. v. State, [CR-02-1473, January 6, 2004].....23
 ___ So.2d ___ (2004)